

# THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOUTH AFRICAN SUGAR TECHNOLOGY: THE WORLD'S LARGEST SUGARCANE DIFFUSERS

VOIGT I

*Bosch Projects (Pty) Ltd, 203 North Ridge Rd, Durban  
voighti@bproj.co.za*

## Abstract

Cane diffusion gained popularity in South Africa in the 1960s and 1970s using European technology. Local research and developments led to the development of locally designed diffusers and to the South African industry achieving the highest extraction rates in the world. The largest diffusers in the South African industry were installed at Felixton 2 in 1984, being 12 metres wide and rated (conservatively) at 350 tch. Since then, no significant advances in diffuser technology were made until Bosch Projects introduced the 'Chainless Diffuser' concept in 2006.

Of the nine Chainless Diffusers to be installed in Brazil between 2008 and 2010, two are similar in size to those at Felixton and six are larger. The first of the 12 metre diffusers (at UNP and rated at 500 tch) was commissioned in September 2008. The diffusers at Brenco, Cosan Jatai and Meridiano are 15 metres in width, are rated for 98% extraction at 625 tch and are the largest cane diffusers in the world. The diffusers in Cosan and Meridiano will ultimately process 875 tch.

The success of the Chainless Diffuser in Brazil is evidence that South African sugar technology and engineering is still relevant and continues to play a leading role, even in the most competitive sector of a fast-changing world industry. In response to the demands of the market, Bosch Projects is about to initiate a design for diffusers that are 20 metres wide.

*Keywords:* diffusers, extraction, Brazil, technology, chainless

## The history of cane diffusers

It is reported that cane diffusion has been in use for over 100 years, first in batch form and more successfully as a continuous process. Continuous diffusers were well accepted in the beet industry before they made their way into the cane industry. Their acceptance in the cane industry became more common in the 1960's, first as bagasse diffusers (where one or two mills preceded the diffuser) and then later as cane diffusers.

As an indication of the increasing interest in this alternative extraction technology at the time, the proceedings of the 12th Congress of the ISSCT in Puerto Rico in 1965 contains numerous papers by researchers and engineers reporting on early continuous diffusers around the world.

- The Diaz-Compain diffuser, from a Puerto Rican designer, was working in a factory in East Pakistan. (Diaz-Compain, 1966). The principle of operation was to fully submerge the cane in a very large slat conveyor, and rates of extraction of over 99% were claimed.
- The first DDS cane diffuser had been operating for a few months at TPC in Tanganyika (Weng and Bruniche-Olsen, 1966). It was based on the DDS beet diffuser and consisted of

twin screw conveyors that transported the bagasse counter-current to the juice. Clarifier mud was recycled to the diffuser.

- A Silver Ring diffuser was reported as operating in its second season in Hawaii (Townsend and Cheatham, 1966). This was a hugely successful installation and their understanding of the important requirements for successful diffusion was years ahead of its time, as reported by Payne (1968).
- E. Gulbaran (Turkey) proposed his diffuser for cane and beet (Gulbaran, 1966).
- The operating results from three 150 tch bagasse diffusers at Nag Hamadi in Egypt were presented, while a 200 tch unit was under construction. (Tantawi, 1966). The Egyptian diffusers were of the style that ultimately became popular in South Africa, using a stationary perforated plate floor; chains for the conveyance of bagasse inside the diffuser; a 1-2 m bed depth at 0.7-1.5 m/minute; and independent juice collecting tanks below the screen.

In South Africa, diffusion started in 1927 when Duncan Fletcher supplied a diffuser to the Tinley Manor factory (Buck, 1965). In 1966, Union Co-operative installed a BMA bagasse diffuser in their new sugar factory based on the very promising performance of the Egyptian diffusers, and De Smet diffusers were installed at Entumeni and Nchalo (Buck, 1965). In 1967, a BMA diffuser was installed at Empangeni mill and a De Smet diffuser at Malelane. (This was a period of substantial growth in the industry, and new milling tandems were commissioned at Amatikulu, Noodsberg and Sezela) (Perk, 1966).

By 1974, there were seven diffusers operating (or under construction) in the industry as follows (Fitzgerald and Lamusse, 1974):

Union Co-op: 60 tch BMA bagasse diffuser (1966)  
 Entumeni: 48 tch De Smet bagasse diffuser (1966)  
 Empangeni: 205 tch BMA bagasse diffuser (1967)  
 Malelane: 225 tch De Smet bagasse diffuser (1967)  
 Umfolozi: 80 tch Saturne bagasse diffuser (1971)  
 Pongola: 150 tch FS/van Hengel bagasse diffuser (1973)  
 Amatikulu: 400 tch Huletts cane diffuser (1974)

By 1984, there were 10 cane diffusers and four bagasse diffusers in the SA industry out of 21 extraction plants, accounting for 54% of cane processed (Lamusse, 1985). In these early days, the motivation for installing diffusers was the lower capital cost and lower costs of maintenance and operations (Fitzgerald and Lamusse, 1974), rather than improved extraction, since the early extraction benefits were small.

An interesting historical phenomenon is that, while the installation of new diffusion plants and their successes are well documented, the reasons for their failures are not so well recorded. Of the list of seven diffusers in 1974, only Amatikulu remains. The bagasse diffuser at Union Co-op was replaced with a cane diffuser; the Entumeni and Empangeni factories were ultimately closed; Malelane now has a BMA cane diffuser, as does Pongola; and Umfolozi has a De Smet diffuser.

### **Diffuser development in South Africa**

In the early days of this boom in diffusion, South African engineers contributed significantly to the success of the technology, whereas a lack of engineering innovation seems to have

doomed diffusers to failure elsewhere. Renton and van der Riet (1971) reported on the improvements made to the 225 tch BMA diffuser at Empangeni mill, which was installed to replace the third and fourth mills of their six mill tandem. Empangeni pioneered the use of:

- lifting screws to overcome the problems of flooding in the area of press water return
- tracer testing of juice percolation using NaCl and the adjustment of stage troughs
- the use of stage sprays in place of overflow troughs
- windows for internal observation of the diffuser
- the elimination of press water clarification
- the use of two dewatering mills in parallel with each other
- the use of scraper slats on diffuser chains
- using water as ballast in the press roller
- an improved understanding of cane preparation requirements.

In the mid-1970s the FS/van Hengel diffuser was developed, claiming advantages of:

- modular construction allowing for incremental capacity increases
- lower residence times
- increased sucrose extraction
- reduced floor area.

Rein (1974, 1995) and Love (1980) contributed significantly to the theoretical understanding of the process. They:

- quantified the relationship between cane preparation and extraction
- studied percolation patterns and juice hold-up
- quantified the effect of stage juice recirculation, imbibition water rate, temperature and residence time on extraction
- developed a means of controlling diffuser flooding by measuring the juice level inside the bed
- quantified the proportion of work done by lixiviation versus molecular diffusion.

The diffuser developed by Tongaat Hulett at Maidstone (based on the BMA design) incorporated some unique features (Greenfield, 2001a,b):

- Diffuser dry feeding, eliminating the problematic segregation of fines which was caused by conventional sluiced feeding.
- The elimination of draft juice screening.
- The use of direct contact heating of unscreened press water.

In 1996, Bosch Projects proposed clarifier mud recycling (then branded 'Filtrafusion') which was adopted at Maidstone factory (Meadows *et al.*, 1998) and has since become the standard for diffusers in South Africa.

As a result of many years of focus on the optimisation of diffuser design and operation, the extraction achieved by Southern African mills exceeded all previously accepted norms. The average extraction of diffuser factories in South Africa frequently exceeds 98% over the entire season.

### **The advantages of diffuser extraction over milling**

The rapid adoption of diffusers in South Africa was as a result of many benefits (Rein, 1995; Koster, 1995; Hoeskstra, 1995). Compared to milling, diffusers:

- achieve better extraction results
- have a lower capital cost
- are cheaper to maintain and operate
- absorb less power
- result in a factory with lower HP steam demand, making more HP steam available for the generation of electrical power.

### **The limitations of diffusers**

Despite the remarkable success achieved in South Africa, diffuser technology never made a lasting impact elsewhere. Cane diffusers were installed far and wide – both BMA and De Smet were very successful internationally. However, although the technology was introduced in most growing sugar industries, it never reached the point of domination over milling extraction, as it had in South Africa – despite the obvious benefits of improved extraction, lower capital cost, lower maintenance and operational costs and improved energy efficiency. The reasons for this vary from country to country and can be fairly difficult to establish. However, the following anecdotal reasons have been given:

- The cane preparation requirements are more demanding for diffusers than mills, and a heavy duty shredder is essential. This adds to the initial cost of cane preparation equipment and also to the absorbed power for cane preparation.
- It is widely believed that diffusers require higher imbibition water rates than mills, and hence additional exhaust steam demand and evaporator capacity. This is probably because of the high rates used in South Africa, but does not consider the fact that South African imbibition water rates can be high because of excess available bagasse due to long milling seasons, good time efficiencies, high fibre content in cane and the reduced demand for HP steam because of the diffusers in operation.
- In South Africa, we take for granted the sharing of experiences through forums such as the annual SASTA Congress; research performed for the industry by the SMRI; and the constant drive for improved factory efficiencies to compromise for low agricultural yields. In this environment, technological advances in one factory are rapidly communicated and adopted in others, with a good probability of further improvements. Not all countries have a similar structure and culture. For example, in Brazil factories have traditionally been owned by family concerns and the promotion of new technologies is largely through equipment suppliers. In India, a large proportion of factories were traditionally co-operatives or state-owned, with few incentives to develop technology.
- In countries with milling seasons shorter than that of South Africa, the cane crop is not necessarily large enough to justify the capital expense of more than four mills in a tandem. In these cases, the capital cost of a four mill tandem is compared with that of a diffuser and two de-watering mills, and the economic benefits become more marginal. Not considered is the fact that a smaller and cheaper diffuser could be used for extraction equivalent to four mills.
- The concern that, once a diffuser has been built, its capacity is determined. Any future expansion of the capacity is difficult and costly.

The latter is the most oft-quoted reason for diffusion not being more readily accepted in industries that have experienced growth.

The reason for the limited expandability of moving bed diffusers rests in the fact that a diffuser's capacity is related to its dimensions – a larger diffuser can process more cane than a smaller diffuser at the same extraction performance. However, the length of a diffuser is constrained by the limit of chain tension allowed. Also, any extension to a diffuser's length will normally require the repositioning of cane preparation equipment or de-watering mills. The height of a diffuser is limited by the depth of prepared cane through which juice can practically percolate. The variable dimension is thus the width of a diffuser, and diffuser capacities are determined by their width. In Brazil, a very simple rule-of-thumb is used to define a diffuser's capacity: 1 metre of width = 1 000 tons of cane per day (assuming 100% availability).

(The discussions regarding expandability are generalisations. The diffuser at Triangle in Zimbabwe was expanded to operate at 1 800 mm bed depth, and the diffuser at Amatikulu was lengthened by 9.5 metres. However, it is quite obvious that, in both cases, further expansions in capacity are unlikely.)

Every moving bed diffuser has a head shaft at the discharge end of the diffuser. The headshaft drives the diffuser chains and is a rather heavy piece of engineering. (The headshaft of a 12 metre wide diffuser has a mass of about 100 tons.) More than any other, this is the component that limits the expandability of diffusers since, for any increase in the width of a diffuser, the headshaft must be replaced with a longer shaft. The economics thereof generally make such an expansion infeasible. Furthermore in all traditional diffuser designs, the return of the chains is beneath the diffuser, which thus requires a substantial bridging structure, the extension of which is extremely costly.

### **How wide should a diffuser be?**

As previously stated, the capacity of a diffuser depends on its size. The parameters considered for a specified performance are:

- Screen area/tons fibre per hour. For extraction performance of 98%, this parameter is in the range of 11-12 m<sup>2</sup>/tfh.
- Bagasse retention time. For top performance, 70-80 minutes is considered suitable.

Given an assumed bed depth and an assumed bed speed, these parameters determine the diffuser length and width. The Chainless Diffusers have a standardised length of 60.25 m, a bed speed of 0.75 m/min and a bed height of 1.5 m. Given these parameters, the conventional width of a Chainless Diffuser is 5.4 tfh/m width or 4 tfh/module. Other diffuser designers have different parameters.

### **How the Chainless Diffuser eliminates size constraints**

The Chainless Diffuser concept was developed in 2006 when it was installed into the existing BMA diffuser at UCL (Schröder *et al.*, 2007).

The Chainless Diffuser eliminates the need for chains and a diffuser headshaft. Instead, the diffuser screen (floor) consists of 750 mm wide modules that run the entire length of the

diffuser. Each of these modules moves independently of each other, and by employing the correct sequence of movement the cane is conveyed through the diffuser. These individual modules are actuated by hydraulic cylinders and a specialised control system.

There are additional advantages. Since the diffuser structure no longer needs to allow for the return of the chain below the juice trays, supporting columns can be employed beneath the diffuser. The transverse steelwork beneath the diffuser screens, previously unsupported except at their ends, is supported at 3 m intervals in the Chainless Diffuser. Thus these components are no constraint to the width of diffusers.

The next possible limitation is the transverse beams that suspend the lifting screws. Since they are above the diffuser bed, they cannot be supported midway. With each lifting screw having a mass of around one ton, the size of these beams becomes quite large. However, it is a simple problem of structural engineering and in the 15 m wide Chainless Diffusers, they consist of plate girders 1.45 m deep. Similarly, the dewatering drum and the discharge kicker need to span the entire width of the diffuser, supported only on the bearings 15.9 m apart. Again, these are relatively simple engineering designs.

In trying to determine the maximum practical width of the diffuser, the final consideration is the feed into the diffuser. It is generally accepted that the bed of cane in a diffuser must be as level as possible in both directions. To achieve a level bed across the width of a 6 or 9 m diffuser may be relatively easy, but what is the practical limitation? The 12 m diffusers at Felixton use scraper conveyors to feed the prepared cane into the diffuser. These conveyors rake the top of the bed and ensure that it is perfectly flat, and the excess cane is returned to the infeed end through a system of conveyors. This concept is also generally used in Brazil. The drawbacks are that these scraper conveyors absorb more power; require additional installed conveyors to return the excess cane; and reduce the ability of operators to easily vary bed depth in the diffuser.

The Chainless Diffuser uses a conventional infeed carrier with adjustable mitre floor plates. As long as the cane supply into the infeed carrier is evenly distributed over its entire width, the design inherently allows for an even spread across the width of the diffuser. Of course, the wider diffusers require a wider infeed carrier so that the angle of the mitre can be maintained, and Bosch Projects has standardised on a 2.4 m wide infeed carrier for up to 15 m wide diffusers.

Given that the conventional constraints have been removed and that the possible constraints mentioned above are manageable through diligent engineering, Bosch Projects decided to develop a design for diffusers 15 m wide.

### **Expandability of the Chainless Diffuser**

As mentioned earlier in this paper, one of the reasons given for the slow adoption of diffusers in other cane sugar industries, is that a diffuser cannot have its capacity easily expanded. The alternatives are to build a second diffuser to process additional cane or to process the additional cane through the same diffuser at a reduced rate of extraction.

Since the Chainless Diffuser consists of 750 mm wide modules that move independently, it is a relatively simple exercise to add more modules. What is required is to:

- Extend the transverse structural steel beams below the moving floor, adding additional supports if necessary.
- Extend the juice trays. The juice trays of the Chainless Diffuser are based on the arc of a cylinder and are easy to extend.
- Extend the lifting screw beams.
- Move one side wall to its new position.
- Add more screen modules.

The probable expansion of diffusers is assumed during the design stage, unless a client specifically declines this option. To accommodate the future expansion, all of the components listed above are provided with bolted connections on the side of the diffuser that will be extended in future.

### Diffusers in Brazil

With the modern trend toward energy-efficient factories and the sale of electricity, cane diffusion is becoming more popular in Brazil. In 2004, there were eight diffusers in an industry of 347 factories. By 2007, this number had grown to 22 out of 393 factories representing 14 new diffusers in 46 new factories (Oliverio, 2007). The few diffusers in Brazil up to that time were mostly of the stationary screen type manufactured by Sermatec, including four with rated capacities of 12 000 tcd. However, the trend in Brazil is for larger units of operation which can process four million or more tons of cane per season, preferably in a single preparation/extraction line. Suppliers of mills claim capacities of up to 1250 tch, but investors are aware of the disadvantages of milling tandems – higher power consumption, capital and maintenance costs, and the logistical challenges of getting mill spares from industrial centres located far from their estate operations. In light of this, there is a demand in Brazil for diffusers with capacities approaching those claimed for mills.

The Brazilian cane crop is forecast to grow from 562 million tons in 2008/09 to 829 million tons in 2015/16 (UNICA, 2009). This presents an interesting opportunity for diffuser suppliers who can overcome the size constraints of conventional diffusers.

The first Chainless Diffuser commissioned in Brazil is 12 m in width, the equivalent to the largest diffusers installed anywhere. It is located at Usina Noroeste Paulista in the state of Sao Paulo, and is designed to process 500 tch (65 tons fibre per hour) and achieve 98% extraction. It is expandable to 625 tch, or 15 m wide. This diffuser was commissioned in September 2008. Table 1 lists the Chainless Diffusers currently under construction Brazil.

**Table 1. Chainless Diffusers currently under construction.**

Factory	Design capacity (tcd)	Design capacity (tch)	Future capacity (tcd)	Commissioning date
Monte Verde	8 000	333	12 000	June 2009
Cosan Jatai	17 000	708	21 000	July 2009
Cabrera	12 000	500	15 000	July 2009
Brenco Morro Vermelho	15 000	625	16 500	August 2009
Brenco Alto Taquari	15 000	625	16 500	September 2009
Brenco Perolandia	15 000	625	16 500	April 2010
Brenco Agua Emendada	15 000	625	16 500	April 2010
Meridiano	16 500	688	21 000	June 2010

In considering the list, the following points are relevant:

- The first diffuser was commissioned very successfully in September 2008.
- The first of the 15 m diffusers will be commissioned in July 2009. This will provide immediate answers to the question of cane distribution over the width of a 15 m diffuser.
- Cosan is the largest sugarcane processor in Brazil, with 18 current factories which processed 44 million tons of cane in 2008/09. The Jatai factory, in the state of Goias, plans to process four million tons per annum.
- Brenco is a biofuels company newly established in Brazil. Ultimately they aim to establish 14 identical cane-to-ethanol plants.
- The diffusers for Cosan and Meridiano are special cases. Although perfectly sized for their initial duties, these diffusers will be expected to process cane at a rate of 875 tons per hour in the future at reduced rates of extraction. The diffusers will operate with a design bed level of 1.6 m and a speed of 0.92 m/min, giving a retention time of 65 minutes. The extraction is expected to be 96.5-97%.

In addition to this list, Mozambique Principle Energy Ltda has commissioned the design of a 440 tch Chainless Diffuser for their Dombe Ethanol and Co-Generation plant. This diffuser will have the largest rated capacity in the Southern African region.

### Conclusions

The development of diffusers in the cane sugar industry accelerated during the 1960s and 1970s, and diffusion is now the accepted technology in South Africa. The fact that diffusers are less well accepted elsewhere in the cane sugar world is possibly attributable to the ability of South African sugar technologists and engineers to overcome the problems initially associated with diffusion, whereas those elsewhere were less successful. The improvements made to the technology in South Africa have become universally accepted. However, few developments were made since the 1970s until Bosch Projects developed the Chainless Diffuser in 2006. Since then, the Chainless Diffuser has been successfully sold into the Brazilian cane industry, where it meets the industry's requirements of high capacity and low capital and maintenance costs and low power consumption. It is anticipated that, in trying to meet the demand for ever-increasing capacities, Bosch Projects will design diffusers larger than 15 m wide within the next year.

It appears that technological innovations from South Africa are as relevant to the world sugar industry as ever.

### REFERENCES

- Buck WR (1965). Dalton – South Africa's first milling diffusion sugar factory. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 39: 114.
- Diaz-Compain J (1966). Continuous diffusion for the sugar cane industry. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XII: 1474.
- Fitzgerald JR and Lamusse JP (1974). Diffusion in South Africa. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XV: 1486.
- Greenfield MS (2001a). Ten engineering innovations from one sugar factory. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 75: 258-262.

- Greenfield MS (2001b). Ten process innovations from one sugar factory. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 75: 306-310.
- Gulbaran E (1966). Gulbaran's diffuser for sugar cane industry and elution theory. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XII: 1713.
- Hoekstra RG (1995). Energy consequences of diffusion versus milling. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 69: 205-207.
- Koster KC (1995). Some downstream effects resulting from diffusion compared with milling as published by the South African sugar industry. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 69: 201-204.
- Lamusse JP (1985). Sixtieth Annual Review of the Milling Season in South Africa (1984-1985). *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 60: 10.
- Love DJ and Rein PW (1980). Percolation behaviour of a cane diffuser. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XVII: 1900.
- Meadows DM, Schumann GT and Soji C (1998). Farewell to filters: the recycle of clarifier mud to the diffuser. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Assoc* 72: 198-203.
- Oliverio JL (2007). Novas tecnologias do Setor Sucroalcooleiro: Difusor Modular Dedini – Bosch. *Simtech* 2007.
- Payne JH (1968). Cane diffusion – The displacement process in principle and practice. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XIII: 103.
- Perk CGM (1966). Forty-first annual summary of laboratory reports of sugar factories in Southern Africa for the 1965/66 season. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 40: 7.
- Rein PW (1974). Prediction of the extraction performance of a diffuser using a mathematical model. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XV: 1523.
- Rein PW (1995). A comparison of cane diffusion and milling. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 69: 196-200.
- Renton RH and van der Riet B (1971). The Empangeni diffuser installation: 1967-1979. *Proc S Afr Sug Technol Ass* 45: 49.
- Schröder KE, Voigt I and Moor B St C (2007). The conversion of a BMA diffuser to a Bosch Projects Chainless Diffuser - The first season's experience. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XXIV
- Tantawi MH (1966). The Egyptian sugar cane diffusion process. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XII: 1496.
- Townsley BT and Cheatham SG (1966). Ring diffuser at Pioneer Mill Company. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XII: 1505.
- UNICA press release, Kingsman Dubai sugar conference, 9 February 2009.
- Weng H and Bruniche-Olsen H (1966). Extraction of cane in the DDS-Diffuser. *Proc Int Soc Sug Cane Technol* XII: 1481.